

# Have Broadband Expansion Policies 'Flattened' the Local Food Marketplace for Rural Farms?

Jeffrey K. O'Hara / Sarah Low

September 17, 2018

2018 National Direct Agricultural Marketing Summit

The views expressed those of the authors and should not be attributed to the U.S. Department of Agriculture or University of Missouri.

# Introduction

- U.S. consumer interest in local foods has provided important market opportunity for farms near cities
- High-speed internet infrastructure expansion could help rural farms access consumers and adapt to consumer shopping patterns
- Research Question: Have policy efforts to expand internet access “flattened” the DTC marketplace?

# Overview

- Use Local Food Marketing Practices Survey data to estimate probability of online marketplace adoption by DTC farmers
- For post-2009 DTC farmers :
  - Metropolitan: 6% less likely to use online marketplace
  - Non-metropolitan adjacent: 7% less likely to use online marketplace
  - Non-metropolitan non-adjacent: 6% more likely to use online marketplace

# DTC Marketing Background

- U.S. DTC sales from farmers = \$3 billion in 2015
  - On-farm stores, farmers markets, CSAs, roadside stands
  - Market sales predominately occur w/in 20 to 60 miles of farm
  - Online sales 6% of total
- U.S. DTC sales doubled between 1992 and 2012
  - Increasing incomes in cities (O'Hara and Low 2016)
  - Social interactions at marketplaces (Hunt 2007)
  - Economies of scale (Schmit and Gomez 2011)
- Uncertain future: online food shopping projected to increase

# Internet and Agriculture

- Policy efforts intended to reduce disparities between urban and rural areas in high-speed internet access
- 2009 ARRA (“Stimulus”)
  - \$7.2 billion for grants/loans available for broadband expansion
  - Funds obligated 2009 - 2014
- Farmer internet access: 57% in 2007 to 70% in 2012
  - Age / education contribute to internet use (Briggeman and Whitacre 2010)
  - Broadband expansion has improved non-metro farm performance (Kandilov et al. 2017)
  - Online marketing strategies by farmers not well-understood

# Discrete Choice Model

- Binary dependent variable:
  - DTC farmers adopt an online marketplace
- Relevant independent variables:
  - Urban-rural classification
  - Began participating in DTC markets 2009 or later
  - Interactions of these terms
- Other controls:
  - Farmer characteristics (gender, age, age squared, race/ethnicity, internet usage for other business purposes)
  - County-level ratio of internet adoption by households
  - Census Bureau region
- 4,664 observations

# Selected Weighted Descriptive Statistics of DTC Farmers

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.
Online Marketplace	0.08	0.28
Hispanic	0.04	0.20
Non-Hispanic white	0.89	0.31
Use Internet to Access Resources	0.61	0.49
Ave. Age	57	12
Metropolitan County	0.54	0.50
Non-metro Adjacent County	0.31	0.46
Residential HSC / Total Households (County)	0.75	0.13
Begin Selling in DTC Markets 2009 or Later	0.35	0.48

# Mean Characteristics of DTC Online Marketplace Farms

Variable	DTC Farm w/out Online Marketplace	DTC Farm with Online Marketplace
DTC Sales	\$21,157	\$79,928
DTC Commodity Sales	\$13,356	\$17,869
DTC Value Added Sales	\$7,801	\$62,059
On-Farm Stand Sales	\$8,833	\$38,231
Online Sales	N.A.	\$18,287
Proportion Online Sales 'Local'	N.A.	0.72
Proportion Metro	0.55	0.49
Average Began 2009 or Later	0.35	0.43
Sell Vegetables Local	0.36	0.23



# Regional Profile of Online Marketplace Farm

- DTC experience levels
  - Higher: Northeast and West
  - Lower: South and Midwest
- Metropolitan status and size
  - Metropolitan / larger: West
  - Non-metropolitan / smaller: South
- Commodities
  - Fruit: West
  - Poultry / lamb: South
  - Vegetables / beef: Midwest

# Weighted Logit Regression Results (select variables)

Variable	Coefficient
Hispanic	1.65***
Non-Hispanic white	1.01**
Use Internet to Access Resources	1.95***
Ave. Age	0.14*
Square of Ave. Age	-0.0013**
Residential HSC / Total Households (County)	1.24*
Begin Selling in DTC Markets 2009 or Later	0.22
Northeast	0.48*
Midwest	-0.14
South	0.60*
Female	0.38
Metropolitan County	-0.48
Non-metro Adjacent County	-0.20

# Weighted Logit Regression Results (select variables)

Variable	Coefficient	Coefficient
Hispanic	1.65***	1.65***
Non-Hispanic white	1.01**	1.04***
Use Internet to Access Resources	1.95***	1.96***
Ave. Age	0.14*	0.15**
Square of Ave. Age	-0.0013**	-0.0015**
Residential HSC / Total Households (County)	1.24*	1.32*
<b>Begin Selling in DTC Markets 2009 or Later</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>1.28**</b>
Northeast	0.48*	0.45*
Midwest	-0.14	-0.15
South	0.60*	0.61*
<b>Metro * Sell in 2009 or Later</b>		<b>-1.14*</b>
<b>Non-metro Adj. * Sell in 2009 or Later</b>		<b>-1.51*</b>

# Marginal Effects (select variables)

Variable	Marginal Effect
Hispanic	0.08***
Non-Hispanic white	0.05**
Use Internet to Access Resources	0.10***
Begin Selling in DTC Markets 2009 or Later	0.06**
Metro * Sell in 2009 or Later	-0.06*
Non-metro Adj. * Sell in 2009 or Later	-0.07*

# Conclusions

- Newer, rural DTC farmers are more likely to develop online marketplace
- Online marketplaces are a part of the portfolio of DTC marketing channels, and appear most complementary with on-farm stands
  - Advertising strategies for online marketing not investigated
- Implications:
  - High-speed access policies appear to have “flattened” local food marketplace in a previously unexplored way
    - Both supply-side and demand-side impacts that could be disentangled in future research
  - Stereotypical profile of local food farmer may be changing as food e-commerce increases